

OTEG 2014 Proposal Rubric			
Dimension	Needs Revision 0 pts	Acceptable 1 pt	Successful 2 pt
Experience with peer review process	No courses listed	One course listed	Multiple courses listed
Proposal purpose	Proposal is unclear and/or doesn't follow grant criteria	Proposal is clearly written and follows grant criteria	Proposal is succinct, comprehensive and follows grant criteria
Intended contribution to student success	No evidence of demonstration of student success	Some evidence of demonstration of student success	Substantial evidence of demonstration of student success
Introduction/improvement of use of educational technology and/or educational principles that enhance learning	No evidence of introduction and/or improvement of use of educational technology and/or educational principles that enhance learning	Some evidence of introduction and/or improvement of use of educational technology and/or educational principles that enhance learning	Substantial evidence of introduction and/or improvement of use of educational technology and/or educational principles that enhance learning
Improvement through study, design, and/or development of materials.	No evidence of improvement in plan	Some evidence of improvement in plan	Substantial evidence of improvement in plan
Funding plan	The budget is incomplete and/or unrealistic and/or does not meet grant criteria	The budget is complete, realistic and meets the grant criteria	The budget is realistic, meets criteria, and is efficient in the use of resources
Assessment plan	No assessment plan provided or is unclear	Assessment plan is clear	Assessment plan is clear and follows best practices